IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO.568 / 2006

Petitioners : 1. Smt.Sahodara Bai
W/o Bharatlal Kesharwani,
Aged about 59 years,
R/o Baniyapara, Sarangarh,
Dist : Raigarh-(C.G.)

2. Smt.Chamarin Bai @ Rambai
W/o Shri Lalha Prasad @ Sosak Prasad Kesharwani, Aged about 55 years, R/o Village Birra, Tahsil – Janjgir Champa, Dist : Janjgir Champa-(C.G.)

3. Smt.Chain Kumari
W/o Reshamlal Kesharwani,
Aged about 53 years,
R/o Mahantpara,
Seorinarayan, Tahsil – Janjgir Champa, Dist : Janjgir – Champa-(C.G.)

P.R. No. 3509/05 Presented by Shri ... dated 23/12/05

Versus

Respondents : 1. Raghunath Prasad Kesharwani,
Aged about 61 years, S/o Bhag Prasad Kesharwani

2. Ram Prasad Kesharwani,
S/o Bhag Prasad Kesharwani,
Aged aobut 58 years

3. Dev Prasad Kesharwani,
S/o Bhag Prasad Kesharwani,
Aged about 56 years,

All are cultivators and shopkeepers, R/o Village Mohant Para, Seorinarayan, Tahsil – Janjgir Champa, Dist : Janjgir Champa-(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES [redacted] 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(26)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

Single Bench: **Hon'ble Shri S.R. Nayak, CJ**

W.P. (PR).No.3509 OF 2005

PETITIONERS
DEFENDANTS

1. Smt. Sahodara Bai
W/o Bharatlal Kesharwani,
Aged about 59 years,
R/o Baniyapara, Sarangarh,
Dist: Raigarh (C.G.)
2. Smt. Chamarin Bai @ Rambai
W/o Shri Lalha Prasad @ Sosak
Prasad Kesharwani, Aged about 55 years, R/o Village Birra, Tahsil - Janjgir Champa, Dist: Janjgir Champa (C.G.)
3. Smt. Chain Kumari
W/o Reshamlal Kesharwani,
Aged about 53 years,
R/o mahantpara,
Seorinarayan, Tahsil - Janjgir Champa, Dist : Janjgir-Champa (CG)

Versus

RESPONDENTS
PLAINTIFFS

1. Raghunath Prasad Kesharwani,
Aged about 61 years, S/o Bhag Prasad Kesharwani
2. Ram Prasad Kesharwani,
S/o Bhag Prasad Kesharwani,
Aged about 58 years
3. Dev Prasad Kesharwani,
S/o Bhag Prasad Kesharwani,
Aged about 56 years,
All are cultivators and shopkeepers,
R/o Village Mohant Para, Seorinarayan,
Tahsil - Janjgir Champa,
Dist : Janjgir Champa (C.G.)

Present: None for the petitioners.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 13th February 2006)

The petition was called out twice for motion hearing. On both the occasions, none appeared nor any representation was made on behalf of

27

the petitioners. In the circumstance, the petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Brajesh